Synthesis and Interconversions of Azagermatranes

Yaniian Wan and John G. Verkade*

Gilman Hall, Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

Received July 29, 1992

R = Me, Z = Me; 6, R = H, Z = t-Bu; 7, R = Me, Z = t-Bu; 8, R = Me, Z = NMe₂) are reported. Syntheses of the new compounds $MeGe(NMe_2)_3$ and t-BuGe(NMe_2)_3 and an improved synthesis of $Ge(NMe_2)_4$ are also recorded. The azagermatranes 5 and 7 are transformed to 4 and 6, respectively, in the presence of (H₂NCH₂- CH_{2} N. This reaction was not found to be reversible, however. Azagermatranes 4 or 5 and 6 or 7 in the presence

of (HOCH₂CH₂)₃N easily react to give MeGe(OCH₂CH₂)₃N and t-BuGe(OCH₂CH₂)₃N, respectively. Because of steric factors, one or more of compounds 6-8 may display weakened transannular Ge-N bonding or even an absence of this bonding.

Introduction

Atranes (1) have been extensively studied for a variety of M atoms and Z substituents and have been known for a long time,



particularly for the group 14 elements.¹ By contrast, group 14 thiatranes (2) are much less well-known.² Azatranes (3) were also quite rare (except for a few examples for $M = Si^3$) until our interest in such compounds was aroused by their potential as MOCVD agents for metal and non-metal nitrides. Thus we have recently expanded this class of compounds to include a broad variety azasilatranes (Z = R, OR, NR₂)⁴ and the first examples of azatitanatranes ($Z = NR_2$),⁵ azavanadatranes (Z = O, NR),^{6,7} azamolybdatranes (Z = N),⁶ azastannatranes (Z = R, NR₂),^{6,7} azaboratranes (Z = nothing),⁸ azaalumatranes (Z = nothing),⁸ and azaphosphatrane cations $(Z = H^+)$.⁹ Herein we report the first azagermatranes, 4-8. We also demonstrate that the alkylazagermatranes can be transformed to other azagermatranes and to germatranes. Thus 5 and 7 are labile with respect to replacement of their tetraamino cage moiety by a (HNCH2-

- (2) (a) Korecz, L.; Saghier, A. A.; Burger, K.; Tzchach, A.; Jurkschat, K. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1982, 58, 243. (b) Kakimoto, N.; Sato, K.; Mutsui, M.; Takada, T.; Akiba, M. Heterocycles 1986, 24, 3047.
- (3) Lukevits, E.; Zelchan, E. I.; Solomenikova, I. I.; Liepins, E. E.; Yankovska, I. S.; Mazheika, I. B. J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 1977, 47, 98
- (4) (a) Gudat, D.; Daniels, L. M.; Verkade, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8520. (b) Gudat, D.; Verkade, J. G. Organometallics 1989, 8, 111, 8520. (6) Gudat, D.; Verkade, J. G. Organometallics 1950, 9, 2772. (c) Gudat, D.; Daniels, L. M.; Verkade, J. G. Organometallics 1990, 9, 1464. (d) Woning, J.; Daniels, L. M.; Verkade, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4601. (e) Gudat, D.; Verkade, J. G. Organometallics 1990, 9, 2172. (f) Woning, J.; Verkade, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 944. (g) Woning, J.; Verkade, J. G. Organometallics 1990, 9, 235. 1991, 10, 2259.
- Naiini, A.; Menge, W.; Verkade, J. G. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 5009.
- (6) Plass, W.; Verkade, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 2275.
 (7) Plass, W.; Verkade, J. G. Manuscript in preparation.

- Plass, W.; Verkade, J. G. Manuscript in preparation.
 Pinkas, J.; Gaul, B.; Verkade, J. G. Submitted for publication.
 (a) Lensink, C.; Xi, S.-K.; Daniels, L. M.; Verkade, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3478. (b) Laramay, M. A. H.; Verkade, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 9421. (c) Laramay, M. A. H.; Verkade, J. G. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1991, 605, 163. (d) Verkade, J. G. ACS Symp. Ser. 1992, 486, Chapter 5, p 64. (e) Tang, Jiansheng; Laramay, M. A. H.; Verkade, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 113, 3129.

 CH_2)₃N fragment in the presence of $(H_2NCH_2CH_2)_3N$, giving 4 and 6, respectively. The azagermatranes 4-7 react with $(HOCH_2CH_2)_3N$ to yield the corresponding germatranes 9 and 10. Also reported here are convenient preparations for the new compounds $RGe(NMe_2)_3$ (R = Me, t-Bu) and an improved synthesis for $Ge(NMe_2)_4$.



Experimental Section

All reactions were carried out with the strict exclusion of moisture by using standard inert-atmosphere and Schlenk techniques. Solvents such as tetrahydrofuran (THF), benzene, and Et₂O were dried by standard methods and distilled before use. Commercially available (Gelest, Inc.) MeGeCl₃, t-BuGeCl₃, and GeCl₄ were used without further purification. LiNMe₂ was purchased from Aldrich and used directly. "tren" ((H₂-NCH₂CH₂)₃N) was distilled at 85 °C and 15 × 10⁻³ Torr from LiAlH₄ before use. Me3tren ((MeHNCH2CH2)3N) was prepared from the purified tren by using a standard procedure.¹⁰ Triethanolamine (TEA) was distilled under vacuum at 97 °C and 14×10^{-3} Torr and stored over type 4A molecular sieves.

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 300 300-MHz spectrometer or on a Varian VXR-300 300-MHz instrument using the solvent peaks as an internal reference. Low- and high-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Finnigan 4000 instrument (70 eV, EI) and a Kratos MS-5 spectrometer (70 eV, EI), respectively. Melting points were measured with a Thomas-Hoover capillary apparatus and are not corrected. Elemental analysis were performed by Desert Analytics, Tucson, AZ.

Tris(dimethylamino)methylgermane, MeGe(NMe2)3. To a 20-mL suspension of 1.08 g of LiNMe₂ (21.2 mmol) in ether cooled to -50 °C was added dropwise 1.27 g of MeGeCl₃ (6.54 mmol) via a nitrogenflushed syringe. A white precipitate formed instantly. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for an additional 2 h. After filtration, the solid residue was washed with 3×5 mL of ether. The ether was removed under vacuum, giving a cloudy liquid which was distilled at 40 °C and 1.0 Torr, affording 1.10 g of colorless product in 77% yield. MeGe(NMe₂)₃ decomposes in air, instantly forming a white solid which is soluble in water. Liquid density: 1.03 g/mL. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6) : δ 2.60 (s, 18 H, NMe₂), 0.21 (s, 3 H, GeMe). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 39.92 (NMe₂), -8.49 (GeMe). MS (70 eV, EI for ⁷⁴Ge): m/z (relative intensity, proposed ion) 221.2 (10.1, M⁺), 222.2 (31.1, M⁺ +

⁽¹⁾ Tandura, S. N.; Voronkov, M. G.; Alekseev, N. V. Top. Curr. Chem. 1986, 131, 99 and references therein.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Anderson, H. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 1421.

1), 206.2 (1.5, $M^+ - Me$), 177.1 (100.0, $M^+ - NMe_2$), 162.2 (2.1, $M^+ - NMe_2 - Me$), 133.1 (2.4, $M^+ - 2NMe_2$), 118.1 (9.7, $M^+ - 2NMe_2 - Me$).

tert-Butyltris(dimethylamino)germane, *t*-BuGe(NMe₂)₃. In 15 mL of ether was dissolved 2.56 g (10.8 mmol) of BuGeCl₃. This solution was added dropwise to 25 mL of an ether suspension of 1.72 g (33.7 mmol) of LiNMe₂ cooled to -50 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for another 3 h. After filtration and removal of ether under vacuum, 2.83 g of crude product was obtained. Distillation at 80–82 °C and 10 Torr afforded 2.27 g of a crystalline product in 80% yield. Mp: 43–44 °C. Liquid density: 1.01 g/mL. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 2.64 (s, 18 H, NMe₂), 1.16 (s, 9 H, CMe₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.59 (s, 18 H, NMe₂), 1.13 (s, 9 H, CMe₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 41.06 (NMe₂), 29.25 (CMe₃), 0.55 (CGe). MS (70 eV, EI for ⁷⁴Ge): *m/z* (relative intensity, proposed ion) 263.1 (1.1, M⁺), 219.1 (8.2, M⁺ – NMe₂), 206.1 (47.1, M⁺ – t-Bu), 148.0 (100.0, M⁺ – 2NMe₂ – t-Bu). HRMS for C₁₀H₂₇N₃⁷⁴Ge (M⁺): calcd 263.142 40, found 263.141 85.

Tetrakis(dimethylamino)germane, Ge(NMe₂)₄. The synthesis of this compound via the reaction of GeBr₄ with excess HNMe₂¹¹ or by reacting GeCl₄ with excess HNMe₂ in a sealed ampule was reported earlier.¹¹ An alternate route we devised was to add dropwise a solution of 2.59 g (12.1 mmol) of GeCl₄ to a mixture of 2.66 g (52.2 mmol) of LiNMe₂ cooled in 40 mL of ether to -50 °C. After stirring of the solution for an additional 2 h at room temperature, filtration, and vacuum evaporation of the ether, 2.91 g of crude product was obtained. Distillation at 50 °C and 0.2 Torr (lit. 82–83 °C at 12 Torr¹¹) gave 2.34 g of pure colorless product. Yield: 79%. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 2.64 (s, 24 H). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 40.24.

Methylazagermatrane 4. A mixture of 0.397 g (1.81 mmol) of MeGe-(NMe₂)₃ and 0.250 g (1.71 mmol) of tren reacted after about 1 min, as evidenced by solidification of the mixture and the release of HNMe₂. By sublimation at 5×10^{-3} Torr and 75 °C, 0.31 g of colorless crystalline product was collected in 78% yield. Mp: 74–75 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 2.77 (t, 6 H, HNCH₂, ³J_{HH} = 5.7 Hz), 2.22 (t, 6 H, N(CH₂)₃), 0.54 (b s, 3 H, NH), 0.08 (s, 3 H, MeGe). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 52.55 (NHCH₂), 38.59 (N(CH₂)₃), 1.37 (MeGe). MS (70 eV, EI for ⁷⁴Ge): m/z (relative intensity, proposed ion) 232.1 (9.8, M⁺), 217.1 (100.0, M⁺ – Me), 188.0 (80.9, M⁺ – NH₂CHCH₂). HRMS (70 eV, EI) for C₇H₁₈N₄⁷⁴Ge (M⁺): calcd 232.075 05, found 232.074 41.

Methylazagermatrane 5. A mixture of MeGe(NMe₂)₃ (0.619 g, 2.82 mmol), Me₃tren (0.420 g, 2.24 mmol), and a catalytic amount of (NH₄)₂-SO₄ was heated at 125 °C with stirring. After 3 h, release of HNMe₂ ceased and a yellowish liquid resulted which distilled very slowly at 60 °C and 5 × 10⁻² Torr, giving 0.48 g of colorless liquid product in 63% yield. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 2.71 (s, 9 H, NMe), 2.66 (t, 6 H, MeNCH₂, ³J_{HH} = 5.7 Hz), 2.24 (t, 6 H, N(CH₂)₃, 0.56 (s, 3 H, MeGe). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 49.64 (NMe), 49.47 (MeNCH₂), 39.14 (N(CH₂)₃), -0.28 (MeGe). MS (70 eV, EI for ⁷⁴Ge): m/z (relative intensity, proposed ion) 274.2 (13.1, M⁺), 259.1 (25.4, M⁺ – Me), 215.1 (10.8, M⁺ – CH₂-CHNMeH), 200.1 (2.8, M⁺ – Me – CH₂CHNMeH). HRMS (70 eV, EI) for C₁₀H₂₄N₄⁷⁴Ge (M⁺): calcd 274.122 00, found 274.121 63.

tert-Butylazagermatrane 6. A mixture of 0.909 g (3.47 mmol) of t-BuGe(NMe₂)₃ and 0.456 g (3.12 mmol) of tren was heated at 120 °C for 2 h. Because a ¹H NMR spectrum of a sample of the mixture in C_6D_6 revealed no evidence of reaction, a catalytic amount of (NH₄)₂SO₄ was added. After the mixture was stirred at 120 °C for another 2 h, evolution of HNMe₂ ceased, and when it was cooled to room temperature, the remaining mixture solidified. The colorless crystalline product was collected in 54% yield by sublimation at 55 °C and 14×10^{-3} Torr. Mp: 75-76 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 2.74 (t, 6 H, HNCH₂, ³J_{HH} = 5.4 Hz), 2.20 (t, 6 H, N(CH₂)₃), 1.10 (s, 9 H, t-BuGe), 0.62 (b s, 3 H, NH). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 53.40 (HNCH₂), 39.00 (N(CH₂)₃), 28.51 (Me), 26.53 (GeC). MS (70 eV, EI for ⁷⁴Ge): m/z (relative intensity, proposed ions) 274.2 (0.7, M⁺), 217.1 (100.0, M⁺ – t-Bu). HRMS for $C_{10}H_{25}^{74}GeN_4$ (M⁺ + H): calcd 275.129 82, found 275.129 84. HRMS (70 eV, EI) for $C_6H_{15}^{74}GeN_4$ (M⁺ - t-Bu): calcd 213.053 97, found 213.053 75. Anal. Calcd for C₆H₁₅GeN₄: C, 44.01; H, 8.86; N, 20.52. Found: C, 43.83; H. 9.15; N. 20.54.

tert-Butylazagermatrane 7. A mixture of 0.400 g (1.53 mmol) of *t*-Bu(NMe₂)₃, Me₃tren (0.24 g, 1.28 mmol), and a catalytic amount of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ as a catalyst was stirred at 120 °C until escape of dimethylamine ceased (2.5 h). The crude liquid was distilled very slowly at 50 °C and 20 × 10⁻³ Torr, affording 0.29 g of product in 61% yield.

¹H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 2.64 (s, 9 H, NMe), 2.53 (t, 6 H, MeNC H_2 , ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 5.0 Hz), 2.33 (t, 6 H, N(CH₂)₃), 1.39 (s, 9 H, CMe₃). ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6): δ 53.52 (NMe), 50.18 (MeNC H_2), 38.11 (N(CH₂)₃), 31.05 (*Me*₃C), 27.20 (*C*Me₃). MS (70 eV, EI for ⁷⁴Ge): *m/z* (relative intensity, proposed ion) 316.2 (0.05, M⁺), 259.1 (100.0, M⁺ - *t*-Bu), 202.0 (6.2, M⁺ - CH₂CHNHCH₃). HRMS (70 eV, EI) for C₁₂H₂₇N₄⁷⁴Ge (M⁺): calcd 301.145 47, found 301.145 21.

(Dimethylamino)azagermatrane 8. In a Schlenk tube, 0.645 g (2.60 mmol) of Ge(NMe₂)₄ was mixed with 0.443 g (2.36 mmol) of Me₃tren and a catalytic amount of (NH₄)₂SO₄. The mixture was heated at 120 °C with stirring until no more HNMe₂ was evolved (3 h). The crude product was purified by slow distillation at 45 °C and 25 × 10⁻³ Torr, giving 0.15 g of pure liquid product in 19% yield. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 2.97 (s, 6 H, NMe₂), 2.73 (s, 9 H, GeNMe), 2.66 (t, 6 H, MeNCH₂), ³J_{HH} = 5.7 Hz), 2.12 (t, 6 H, N(CH₂)₃). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 50.40 (NMe), 50.30 (MeNCH₂), 41.34 (GeNMe₂), 39.05 (N(CH₂)₃). MS (70 eV, EI for ⁷⁴Ge): *m*/z (relative intensity, proposed ion): 303.2 (6.4, M⁺), 259.1 (100.0, M⁺ – NMe₂), 246.2 (0.6, M⁺ – NMeHCHCH₂), 202.1 (14.2, M⁺ – NMe₂ – NMeHCHCH₂). HRMS for C₁₁H₂₇N₅⁷⁴Ge (M⁺): calcd 303.148 54, found 303.148 23.

Reaction of 5 with tren. To a solution of 20 mg (0.073 mmol) of 5 in 0.45 mL of C_6D_6 in an NMR tube was added 11 mg (0.075 mmol) of tren. The ¹H NMR spectrum recorded ¹/₂ h later revealed that ~50% of 5 had converted to 4. Eight hours later, the reaction was complete, as evidenced by the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, which revealed the presence of free Me₃tren and of 4 as the only germanium-containing product.

Reaction of 7 with tren. To a solution of 30 mg (0.095 mmol) of 7 in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 in an NMR tube was added 15 mg (0.096 mmol) of tren. The product, *tert*-butylazagermatrane 6 was detected instantly by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. After 12 h, the reaction was complete, as evidenced by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, which revealed only the presence of *tert*-butylazagermatrane 6 and free Me₃tren.

Reactions of 4-7 with TEA. These NMR tube experiments were carried out in C₆D₆ (0.5 mL) at ambient temperature using approximately equimolar amounts (~0.07-0.1 mmol) of each of the title compounds and TEA. Monitoring the reactions by ¹H NMR spectroscopy showed that the reaction was complete for 4 and 5 by the time the spectra were run. In the case of 6 the reaction required about 14 h whereas for 7 it was complete by the time the spectrum was run. The purity of the reaction products in all of these mixtures was also verified by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

Reaction of MeGe(NMe₂)₃ and *t*-BuGe(NMe₂)₃ with TEA. These NMR tube experiments were carried out as described in the preceding paragraph except approximately equimolar amounts of the title compounds ($\sim 0.02-0.04$ mmol) and TEA were used. In the case of MeGe(NMe₂)₃, the reaction to form the corresponding germatrane was over immediately whereas, with *t*-BuGe(NMe₂)₃, only 60% conversion was evident by ¹H NMR spectroscopy even after 44 h at reflux temperature.

Discussion

Syntheses. An effective route to two new tris(dimethylamino)germanes synthesized in this work is shown in reactions 1 and 2.

RGeCl ₃ + 3LiNMe ₂	Et ₂ O	RGe(NMe ₂) ₃ + 3LiCl R = Me, 77% yield R = t -Bu, 80% yield	(1)
$GeCl_4 + 4LiNMe_2$	Et ₂ O	$Ge(NMe_2)_4 + 4LiCl$ 79% yield	(2)

The tetrakis analogue Ge(NMe₂)₄ was reported to form in \sim 79% yield in the reaction of GeBr₄ with excess HNMe₂ in cyclohexane.¹¹ Using GeCl₄, however, this procedure led to incomplete conversion, and only by heating the products with excess HNMe₂ to 110 °C in a sealed ampule was Ge(NMe₂)₄ formed, and that in mediocre yield (58%).¹¹ Because of the generally greater availability and considerably lower price of GeCl₄ compared with GeBr₄, the preparation under mild conditions described here (reaction 2) appears to be the method of choice.

The conditions for the syntheses of 4-7 (reactions 3-6) reflect the steric properties of the reactants. Thus, whereas tren reacts in minutes in reaction 3 to give 4 at room temperature, the formation of 5-7 requires heating for 2-3 h above 100 °C in the

⁽¹¹⁾ Pacl, Z.; Jakoubkova, M.; Rericha, R.; Chvalovsky, V. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1971, 36, 2181.

$$\frac{\text{tren}}{\text{rt, ~1 min.}} 4 (74\% \text{ yield}) (3)$$
MeGe(NMe₂)₃

$$\frac{\text{Me}_3\text{-tren, (NH_4)}_2\text{SO}_4}{125 \,^{\circ}\text{C}, 3 \text{ h}} 5 (63\% \text{ yield}) (4)$$

tren, (NH₄)₂SO₄ (5) 6 (54% yield) 120 °C, 2 h

1-BuGe(NMe2)3

$$\frac{Me_3-tren (NH_4)_2SO_4}{120 \text{ °C}, 2 \text{ h}} \qquad 7 (61\% \text{ yield}) \qquad (6)$$

presence of a catalyst. NMR tube reactions in C_6D_6 of the two reactants in eqs 3-6 (without catalyst) revealed formation of 4 within minutes at room temperature, partial conversion to 5 after 10 h at reflux temperature, and no detectable 6 or 7 after 10 h at reflux temperature. Interestingly, reaction 7 for the preparation

$$Ge(NMe_{2})_{4} + (MeHNCH_{2}CH_{2})_{3}N \qquad \frac{120 \text{ °C, 3 h}}{(NH_{4})_{2}SO_{4}} \qquad 8 \qquad (7)$$

of 8 (albeit in low yield) gives only a polymeric material when tren is used instead of Me3tren. This contrasting behavior between the two tetramines has also been noted with other $M(NMe_2)_x$ species in our laboratories (e.g., M = B,⁸ Al,⁸ Ti,⁵ and Si¹²). It may be that these atoms activate the second hydrogen on the primary amine nitrogens to further substitution, thus leading to oligomers.

Because sterically unhindered azasilatranes⁴ and azastannatranes⁷ possess transannular bonds, it is expected that the same is true for 4 and 5. In view of the quasi-azasilatrane structure of 11 established by X-ray means, however,^{4a} it is possible that



one or more of compounds 6-8 could exhibit an analogous structure. Unfortunately, a comparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data for these compounds with those of their acyclic precursors provides no meaningful trends that might suggest the presence or absence of a transannular bond in 4-8. Until suitable crystals of 4 or 6 for X-ray analysis can be grown (5, 7, and 8 are liquids), this question remains unanswered.

Azagermatrane Interconversions. Although germanium compounds are well-known to undergo monodentate ligand exchange in thermodynamically controlled equilibrium reactions, 13 reactions 8 and 9 demonstrate that a tetradentate amino ligand can be quantitatively replaced by another. Interestingly this reaction is

$$5 + (H_2NCH_2CH_2)_3N \longrightarrow 4 + (MeHNCH_2CH_2)_3N$$
(8)
tren
$$7 + (H_2NCH_2CH_2)_3N \longrightarrow 6 + (MeHNCH_2CH_2)_3N$$
(9)

not reversible to any detectable extent, suggesting that steric factors probably dominate in the replacement of the more sterically hindered (MeNCH₂CH₂)₃N moiety by the (HNCH₂CH₂)₃N fragment. Such a replacement may also permit a stronger transannular interaction. It is worth noting that reaction 9 was carried out at room temperature in C_6D_6 and was ~ 50% complete in 0.5 h, whereas reaction 5 produced no detectable product at 120 °C for 2 h unless a catalyst was present. (That a solvent effect is not operative here was shown by the absence of a detectable amount of 6 when reaction 5 was carried out for 10 h in refluxing C_6D_6). This result is contrary to expectation, since reaction 5 is entropically and thermodynamically favored, and the reactant t-BuGe(NMe₂)₃ is less sterically encumbered than 7 in reaction 9. We are presently unable to explain this result satisfactorily. It is possible that if there is significant (albeit strained) transannular bonding in 7, the hybridizational promotion energy from a tetrahedral to a trigonal bipyramidal Ge geometry required in reaction 5 interconversion in reaction 9.

Reactions 10 and 11, carried out as NMR tube experiments, are quantitative at room temperature. For 4, 5, and 7 the reaction

4 or 5 + (HOCH₂CH₂)₃N
$$\frac{C_6D_6}{2}$$
 9 + tren or Me₃-tren (10)
TEA

6 or 7 + (HOCH₂CH₂)₃N
$$\xrightarrow{C_6D_6}$$
 10 + tren or Me₃-tren (11)

was complete in minutes whereas for 6 it required ~ 14 h. The reason for the slowness of 6 to react may be due to steric inhibition. Although this factor is expected to be larger in the case of 7, it may be sufficiently large that the transannular bond is weakened or broken in this compound, thus rendering the Ge center more electrophilic. The replacement of three Ge-N linkages by three stronger Ge-O bonds favors these reactions, as does the reduction in the steric requirements of the $(OCH_2CH_2)_3N$ group. The structures for 10, 12, and 13 determined by X-ray means reveal the presence of Ge \leftarrow N transannular bonds (2.238 (6), 2.24, and 2.24 Å, respectively¹⁴). This distance appears to be slightly shorter in 14 (2.19 (3) $Å^{15}$) and 15 (2.150 (7) $Å^{16}$). These data strongly suggest that 9 and 10 also contain transannular bonds, whose strengths are probably enhanced by the three electronegative alkoxy oxygens over the three less electronegative amido nitrogens present in azagermatranes. The reaction of 8 with TEA gave a complicated mixture, undoubtedly owing to competitive departure of the Me₂N substituent and the MeN nitrogens in the (MeNCH₂- CH_2 ₃N moiety during nucleophilic displacement by the triol.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the National Science Foundation for a grant in support of this research and to the W. R. Grace Co. for a research sample of tren.

- Gurkova, S. N.; Gusev, A. I.; Segel'man, I. R.; Alekseev, N. V.; Gar, T. K.; Khromova, N. V. J. Struct. Chem. (Engl. Transl.) 1981, 22, 461. Zaitseva, G. S.; Mohammed, N.; Livantsova, L. I.; Tafeenko, V. A.; (15)
- (16)Aslanov, L. A.; Petrosyan, V. S. Heteroatom Chem. 1990, 1, 439.

⁽¹²⁾ Here Si(NMe₂)₄, whether heated with or without a catalyst in the presence of tren or Me3tren, does not give the corresponding (dimethylamino)azasilatrane.⁴⁴ although Me₂NSi(HNCH₂CH₂)₃N has been claimed to be formed in this manner: LeGrow, G. E. U.S. Patent 3,576,026, 1971.

⁽¹³⁾ Burch, G. M.; Van Wazer, J. R. J. Chem. Soc. A 1966, 586.

Gurkova, S. N.; Gusev, A. I.; Alekseev, N. V.; Segel'man, R. I.; Gar, T. K.; Khromova, N. Yu. J. Struct. Chem. (Engl. Transl.) 1981, 155 (14)and references therein.