Synthesis and Interconversions of Azagermatranes

Yanjian Wan and John G. Verkade'

Gilman Hall, Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 5001 1

Received *July 29, 1992*

The syntheses of the first examples of the title compounds, namely, $Z\dot{G}e(NRCH_2CH_2)$ ₃N['] (4, $R = H$, $Z = Me$; 5, $R = Me$, $Z = Me$; 6, $R = H$, $Z = t$ -Bu; 7, $R = Me$, $Z = t$ -Bu; 8, $R = Me$, $Z = NMe$ ₂) are reported. Syntheses of the new compounds $\text{MeGe}(\text{NMe}_2)$ and t -BuGe(NMe_2)₃ and an improved synthesis of Ge(NMe_2)₄ are also recorded. The azagermatranes **5** and 7 are transformed to **4** and *6,* respectively, in the presence of (H2NCH2- CH2)3N. This reaction was not found to be reversible, however. Azagermatranes **4** or **5** and *6* or **7** in the presence 1993, 32, 79–81
 ICON
 ICON

of $(HOCH_2CH_2)_3N$ easily react to give MeGe($OCH_2CH_2)_3N$ and t-BuGe($OCH_2CH_2)_3N$, respectively. Because of steric factors, one or more of compounds 6-8 may display weakened transannular Ge-N bonding or even an absence of this bonding.

Introduction

Atranes **(1)** have been extensively studied for a variety of M atoms and Z substituents and have been known for a long time,

particularly for the group 14 elements.¹ By contrast, group 14 thiatranes **(2)** are much less well-known.2 Azatranes **(3)** were also quite rare (except for a few examples for $M = Si³$) until our interest in such compounds was aroused by their potential as MOCVD agents for metal and non-metal nitrides. Thus we have recently expanded this class of compounds to include a broad variety azasilatranes ($Z = R$, OR, NR₂)⁴ and the first examples of azatitanatranes $(Z = NR_2)$,⁵ azavanadatranes $(Z = O, NR)$,^{6,7} azamolybdatranes (Z = N),⁶ azastannatranes (Z = R, NR₂),^{6,7} azaboratranes (Z = nothing),⁸ azaalumatranes (Z = nothing),⁸ and azaphosphatrane cations $(Z = H^+)^9$. Herein we report the first azagermatranes, 4-8. We also demonstrate that the alkylazagermatranes can be transformed to other azagermatranes and to germatranes. Thus **5** and 7 are labile with respect to replacement of their tetraamino cage moiety by a (HNCH₂-

~~ ~~

- **(2)** (a) Korecz, L.; Saghier, A. A.; Burger, K.; Tzchach, A,; Jurkschat, K. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1982,58,243.** (b) Kakimoto, **N.;** Sato, K.; Mutsui, M.; Takada, T.; Akiba, M. *Heterocycles* **1986, 24, 3047.**
- **(3)** Lukevits, E.; Zelchan, E. I.;Solomenikova, **I. I.;** Liepins, E. **E.;** Yankovska, I. S.; Mazheika, **I.** B. J. *Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.)* **1977, 47, 98.**
- **(4)** (a) Gudat, D.; Daniels, L. M.; Verkade, J. **G.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1989,** 111, 8520. (b) Gudat, D.; Verkade, J. G. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2772. (c) Gudat, D.; Daniels, L. M.; Verkade, J. G. Organometallics 1990, 9, 1464. (d) Woning, J.; Daniels, L. M.; Verkade, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 1 **1991, IO, 2259.**
- *(5)* Naiini, A,; Menge, W.; Verkade, J. **G.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1991, 30, 5009.**
- **(6)** Plass. W.; Verkade, J. *G. J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1992, 114. 2275. (7)** Plass, W.; Verkade, J. **G.** Manuscript in preparation.
-
-
- (8) Pinkas, J.; Gaul, B.; Verkade, J. *G.* Submitted for publication. **(9)** (a) Lensink, **C.;** Xi, S.-K.; Daniels, L. M.; Verkade, J. G. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 1989, 111, 3478. (b) Laramay, M. A. H.; Verkade, J. G. J. Am.
Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 9421. (c) Laramay, M. A. H.; Verkade, J. G.
Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1991, 603, 163. (d) Verkade, J. G. ACS Symp.
Ser. 1992, 486, Chapte

 $CH₂$)₃N fragment in the presence of $(H₂NCH₂CH₂)₃N$, giving **4** and *6,* respectively. The azagermatranes **4-7** react with $(HOCH₂CH₂)₃N$ to yield the corresponding germatranes 9 and **10.** Also reported here are convenient preparations for the new compounds $RGe(NMe_2)$ ₃ ($R = Me$, *t*-Bu) and an improved synthesis for $Ge(NMe₂)₄$.

$10, Z = t - Bu$

Experimental Section

All reactions were carried out with the strict exclusion of moisture by using standard inert-atmosphere and Schlenk techniques. Solvents such as tetrahydrofuran (THF), benzene, and $Et₂O$ were dried by standard methods and distilled before use. Commercially available (Gelest, Inc.) $Medecl₃, t-BuGeCl₃, and GeCl₄ were used without further purification.$ LiNMe₂ was purchased from Aldrich and used directly. "tren" $((H₂$ - $NCH₂CH₂$)₃N) was distilled at 85 °C and 15 \times 10⁻³ Torr from LiAlH₄ before use. Me₃tren ((MeHNCH₂CH₂)₃N) was prepared from the purified tren by using a standard procedure.¹⁰ Triethanolamine (TEA) was distilled under vacuum at **97** "C and **14 X 10-3** Torr and stored over type 4A molecular sieves.

'H NMR and I3C NMR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet **300** 300-MHz spectrometer or on a Varian VXR-300 300-MHz instrument using the solvent peaks as an internal reference. Low- and high-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Finnigan **4000** instrument **(70** eV, EI) and a Kratos MS-5 spectrometer **(70** eV, EI), respectively. Melting points were measured with a Thomas-Hoover capillary apparatus and are not corrected. Elemental analysis were performed by **Desert** Analytics, Tucson, **AZ.**

Tris(dimethylamino)metbylgermane, MeCe(NMez)3. To a 20-mL suspension of 1.08 g of LiNMe₂ (21.2 mmol) in ether cooled to -50 °C was added dropwise 1.27 g of MeGeCl₃ (6.54 mmol) via a nitrogenflushed syringe. A white precipitate formed instantly. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for an additional **2** h. After filtration, the solid residue was washed with **3 X 5** mL of ether. The ether was removed under vacuum, giving a cloudy liquid which was distilled at 40 "C and **1.0** Torr, affording **1.10 g** of colorless product in **77%** yield. MeGe(NMe2)3 decomposes in air, instantly forming a white solid which is soluble in water. Liquid density: **1.03** g/mL. IH NMR (C₆D₆): δ 39.92 (NMe₂), -8.49 (GeMe). MS (70 eV, EI for ⁷⁴Ge): *m/z* (relative intensity, proposed ion) **221.2 (10.1,** M+), **222.2 (31.1, M+** + (C6D6): 6 **2.60 (S, 18** H, NMez), **0.21 (S, 3 H,** GeMe). I3c NMR

⁽¹⁾ Tandura, *S.* N.; Voronkov, M. *G.;* Alekseev, N. V. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **1986, 131, 99** and references therein.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Anderson, **H. H.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1952, 74, 1421.**

1),206.2(1.5,M+-Me),177.1 (100.0,Mt-NMe2),162.2(2.1,M+ $- NMe_2 - Me$, 133.1 (2.4, $M^+ - 2NMe_2$), 118.1 (9.7, $M^+ - 2NMe_2 -$ Me).

tert-Butyltris(dimethylamino)germane, *t*-BuGe(NMe₂)₃. In 15 mL of ether was dissolved 2.56 g (10.8 mmol) of BuGeCl₃. This solution was added dropwise to 25 mL of an ether suspension of 1.72 g (33.7 mmol) of LiNMe₂ cooled to -50 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to **room** temperature and was stirred for another 3 h. After filtration and removal of ether under vacuum, 2.83 g of crude product was obtained. Distillation at 80-82 $^{\circ}$ C and 10 Torr afforded 2.27 g of a crystalline product in 80% yield. Mp: 43-44 °C. Liquid density: 1.01 g/mL. ¹H (CDCl3): **8** 2.59 **(s,** 18 H, NMez), 1.13 **(s,** 9 H, CMe3). I3C NMR $(CDCI_3)$: 41.06 (NMe_2) , 29.25 (CMe_3) , 0.55 (CGe) . MS (70 eV, EI for 74 Ge): m/z (relative intensity, proposed ion) 263.1 (1.1, M⁺), 219.1 $(8.2, M⁺ - NMe₂), 206.1 (47.1, M⁺ - t-Bu), 162.0 (19.9, M⁺ - NMe₂)$ $-$ t-Bu), 118.0 (100.0, M⁺ $-$ 2NMe₂ $-$ t-Bu). HRMS for C₁₀H₂₇N₃⁷⁴Ge (M+): calcd 263.142 40, found 263.141 85. NMR (C6D6): **6** 2.64 **(s,** 18 H, NMez), 1.16 **(s,** 9 H, CMe,). 'H NMR

Tetrakis(dimethylamino)germane, Ge(NMe₂)₄. The synthesis of this compound via the reaction of GeBr₄ with excess $HMMe₂¹¹$ or by reacting $GeCl₄$ with excess $HMMe₂$ in a sealed ampule was reported earlier.¹¹ An alternate route we devised was to add dropwise a solution of 2.59 g (12.1 mmol) of GeCl₄ to a mixture of 2.66 g (52.2 mmol) of $LiNMe₂$ cooled in 40 mL of ether to -50 °C. After stirring of the solution for an additional 2 hat **room** temperature, filtration, and vacuum evaporation of the ether, 2.91 g of crude product was obtained. Distillation at 50 $^{\circ}$ C and 0.2 Torr (lit. 82-83 °C at 12 Torr¹¹) gave 2.34 g of pure colorless product. Yield: 79%. 'H NMR (C&,): **6** 2.64 **(s,** 24 H). "C NMR (c6D6): **6** 40.24.

Methylazagermatrane **4.** A mixture of 0.397 g (1.8 1 mmol) of MeGe- $(NMe₂)₃$ and 0.250 g (1.71 mmol) of tren reacted after about 1 min, as evidenced by solidification of the mixture and the release of HNMe2. By sublimation at 5×10^{-3} Torr and 75 °C, 0.31 g of colorless crystalline product was collected in 78% yield. Mp: 74-75 °C. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 2.77 (t, 6 H, HNCH₂, ³J_{HH} = 5.7 Hz), 2.22 (t, 6 H, N(CH₂)₃), 0.54 (b **S,** 3 H, NH), 0.08 **(s,** 3 H, MeGe). "C NMR (C6D6): **6** 52.55 (NHCH2), 38.59 (N(CH2)3), 1.37 (MeGe). MS (70 eV, **E1** for 74Ge): *m/z* (relative intensity, proposed ion) 232.1 (9.8, M⁺), 217.1 (100.0, M⁺ $-$ Me), 188.0 (80.9, M⁺ $-$ NH₂CHCH₂). HRMS (70 eV, EI) for $C_7H_{18}N_4{}^{74}Ge$ (M⁺): calcd 232.075 05, found 232.074 41.

Methylazagermatrane 5. A mixture of MeGe(NMe₂)₃ (0.619 g, 2.82 mmol), Me₃tren (0.420 g, 2.24 mmol), and a catalytic amount of $(NH_4)_{2-}$ SO_4 was heated at 125 °C with stirring. After 3 h, release of $HMMe₂$ ceased and a yellowish liquid resulted which distilled very slowly at 60 $^{\circ}$ C and 5 \times 10⁻² Torr, giving 0.48 g of colorless liquid product in 63% yield. IH NMR (C6D6): **6** 2.71 **(s,** 9 H, NMe), 2.66 (t, 6 H, MeNCH2, *~JHH* = 5.7 Hz), 2.24 (t, 6 H, N(CH2)3, 0.56 **(s,** 3 H, MeGe). I3C NMR (C_6D_6) : **6 49.64 (NMe), 49.47 (MeNCH**₂), 39.14 (N(CH₂)₃), -0.28 (MeGe). MS (70 eV, E1 for 74Ge): *m/z* (relative intensity, proposed **ion)** 274.2 (13.1, M⁺), 259.1 (25.4, M⁺ – Me), 215.1 (10.8, M⁺ – CH₂-CHNMeH), 200.1 (2.8, $M^+ - Me - CH_2CHNMeH$). HRMS (70 eV, EI) for C₁₀H₂₄N₄⁷⁴Ge (M⁺): calcd 274.122 00, found 274.121 63.

tert-Butylazagermatrane *6.* A mixture of 0.909 g (3.47 **mmol)** of t -BuGe(NMe₂)₃ and 0.456 g (3.12 mmol) of tren was heated at 120 °C for 2 h. Because a ¹H NMR spectrum of a sample of the mixture in C_6D_6 revealed no evidence of reaction, a catalytic amount of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ was added. After the mixture was stirred at 120° C for another 2 h, evolution of HNMe₂ ceased, and when it was cooled to room temperature, the remaining mixture solidified. The colorless crystalline product was collected in 54% yield by sublimation at 55 \degree C and 14 \times 10⁻³ Torr. Mp: 2.20 (t. 6 H, N(CH2)3), 1.10 **(s,** 9 H, t-BuGe), 0.62 (b **s,** 3 H, NH). **I3C** NMR (C_6D_6): δ 53.40 (HNCH₂), 39.00 (N(CH₂)₃), 28.51 (Me), 26.53 (GeC). MS (70eV, E1 for 74Ge): *m/z* (relative intensity, proposed ions) 274.2 (0.7, M⁺), 217.1 (100.0, M⁺ - t-Bu). HRMS for C₁₀H₂₅⁷⁴GeN₄ (M+ + H): calcd 275.129 82, found 275.129 84. HRMS (70 eV, EI) for C_6H_{15} ⁷⁴GeN₄ (M⁺ - t-Bu): calcd 213.053 97, found 213.053 75. Anal. Calcd for C₆H₁₅GeN₄: C, 44.01; H, 8.86; N, 20.52. Found: C, 43.83; H, 9.15; N, 20.54. 75-76 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 2.74 (t, 6 H, HNCH₂, ³J_{HH} = 5.4 Hz),

tert-Butylazagermatrane 7. **A** mixture of 0.400 g (1.53 **mmol)** of $t-Bu(NMe₂)₃$, Me₃tren (0.24 g, 1.28 mmol), and a catalytic amount of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ as a catalyst was stirred at 120 °C until escape of dimethylamine ceased (2.5 h). The crude liquid was distilled very slowly at 50 °C and 20 \times 10⁻³ Torr, affording 0.29 g of product in 61% yield.

¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 2.64 (s, 9 H, NMe), 2.53 (t, 6 H, MeNCH₂, ³J_{HH} $= 5.0$ Hz), 2.33 (t, 6 H, N(CH₂)₃), 1.39 (s, 9 H, CMe₃). ¹³C NMR (C6D6): **6** 53.52 (NMe), 50.18 (MeNCH2), 38.11 (N(CH2)3), 31.05 (Me_3C) , 27.20 (CMe₃). MS (70 eV, EI for ⁷⁴Ge): m/z (relative intensity, proposed ion) 316.2 (0.05, M⁺), 259.1 (100.0, M⁺ - t-Bu), 202.0 (6.2, M^+ – CH₂CHNHCH₃). HRMS (70 eV, EI) for C₁₂H₂₇N₄⁷⁴Ge (M⁺): calcd 301.145 47, found 301.145 21.

(Dimethylamino)azagermatrane 8. In a Schlenk tube, 0.645 g (2.60 mmol) of Ge(NMe₂)₄ was mixed with 0.443 g (2.36 mmol) of Me₃tren and a catalytic amount of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$. The mixture was heated at 120 \degree C with stirring until no more $HMMe₂$ was evolved (3 h). The crude product was purified by slow distillation at 45 $^{\circ}$ C and 25 \times 10⁻³ Torr, giving 0.15 g of pure liquid product in 19% yield. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 2.97 **(s,** 6 H, NMez), 2.73 **(s,** 9 H, GeNMe), 2.66 (t, 6 H, MeNCH2), (NMe), 50.30 (MeNCH₂), 41.34 (GeNMe₂), 39.05 (N(CH₂)₃). MS (70 eV, E1 for 74Ge): *m/z* (relative intensity, proposed ion): 303.2 (6.4, M⁺), 259.1 (100.0, M⁺ - NMe₂), 246.2 (0.6, M⁺ - NMeHCHCH₂), 202.1 (14.2, $M^+ - NMe_2 - NMeHCHCH_2$). HRMS for $C_{11}H_{27}N_5{}^{74}Ge$ (M+): calcd 303.148 54, found 303.148 23. ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ = 5.7 Hz), 2.12 (t, 6 H, N(CH₂)₃). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 50.40

Reaction of **5** with **Wen.** To a solution of 20 mg (0.073 **mmol)** of **5** in 0.45 mL of C_6D_6 in an NMR tube was added 11 mg (0.075 mmol) of tren. The ¹H NMR spectrum recorded $1/2$ h later revealed that \sim 50% of **5** had converted to **4.** Eight hours later, the reaction was complete, as evidenced by the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, which revealed the presence of free Me3tren and of **4** as the only germanium-containing product.

Reaction of **7** with tren. To a solution of 30 mg (0.095 mmol) of **7** in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 in an NMR tube was added 15 mg (0.096 mmol) of tren. The product, rerr-butylazagermatrane *6* was detected instantly by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. After 12 h, the reaction was complete, as evidenced by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, which revealed only the presence of tert-butylazagermatrane 6 and free Me₃tren.

Reactions of 4-7 with TEA. These NMR tube experiments were carried out in C_6D_6 (0.5 mL) at ambient temperature using approximately equimolar amounts (\sim 0.07-0.1 mmol) of each of the title compounds and TEA. Monitoring the reactions by 'H NMR spectroscopy showed that the reaction was complete for **4** and **5** by the time the spectra were run. **In** the case of *6* the reaction required about 14 h whereas for **7** it was complete by the time the spectrum was run. The purity of the reaction products in all of these mixtures was also verified by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

Reaction of MeGe(NMe₂)₃ and t-BuGe(NMe₂)₃ with TEA. These NMR tube experiments were carried out as described in the preceding paragraph except approximately equimolar amountsof the titlecompounds $(\sim 0.02$ -0.04 mmol) and TEA were used. In the case of MeGe(NMe₂)₃, the reaction to form the corresponding germatrane was over immediately whereas, with t -BuGe(NMe₂)₃, only 60% conversion was evident by ¹H NMR spectroscopy even after 44 h at reflux temperature.

Discussion

Syntheses. An effective route to two new tris(dimethy1amino) germanes synthesized in this work is shown in reactions 1 and 2.

The tetrakis analogue Ge(NMe₂)₄ was reported to form in \sim 79% yield in the reaction of $GeBr_4$ with excess $HNMe_2$ in cyclohexane.¹¹ Using $GeCl₄$, however, this procedure led to incomplete conversion, and only by heating the products with excess $HMMe₂$ to 110 °C in a sealed ampule was $Ge(NMe₂)₄$ formed, and that in mediocre yield (58%).¹¹ Because of the generally greater availability and considerably lower price of GeCl₄ compared with GeBr₄, the preparation under mild conditions described here (reaction 2) appears to be the method of choice.

The conditions for the syntheses of **4-7** (reactions **3-6)** reflect the steric properties of the reactants. Thus, whereas tren reacts in minutes in reaction 3 to give **4** at room temperature, the formation of **5-7** requires heating for 2-3 h above 100 *OC* in the

^{~~~~~~~} (I I) Pad, Z.; Jakoubkova, M.; Rericha, R.; Chvalovsky, V. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* **1971,** *36,* 2181.

$$
\frac{\text{tren}}{\text{rt.~1 min.}} \qquad 4 (74\% \text{ yield}) \qquad (3)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\text{Me}_3\text{-} \text{tren. (NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4}{125 \text{ °C}^2 \text{ h}} \qquad 5 (63\% \text{ yield}) \qquad (4)
$$

$$
125 \,^{\circ}\text{C}, 3 \, \text{h}
$$

f $\frac{\text{then, (NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4}{120 \text{ °C}, 2 \text{ h}}$ **6** (54% yield) (5)

 $r-BuGe(NMe₂)$

$$
Me_3\text{-}tren (NH_4)_2SO_4
$$

120 °C, 2 h
7 (61% yield) (6)

presence of a catalyst. NMR tube reactions in C_6D_6 of the two reactants in **eqs** 3-6 (without catalyst) revealed formation of **4** within minutes at room temperature, partial conversion to **5** after 10 h at reflux temperature, and no detectable **6** or **7** after 10 h at reflux temperature. Interestingly, reaction **7** for the preparation ¹²⁰ °C, 2 h

¹²⁰ °C, 2

$$
Ge(NMe2)4 + (MeHNCH2CH2)3N \t\t (NH4)2SO4 \t\t 8 \t\t (19% yield)
$$
\t(7)

of 8 (albeit in low yield) gives only a polymeric material when tren is used instead of Me₃tren. This contrasting behavior between the two tetramines has also been noted with other $M(NMe₂)_x$ species in our laboratories (e.g., $M = B$,⁸ Al,⁸ Ti,⁵ and Si¹²). It may be that these atoms activate the second hydrogen on the primary amine nitrogens to further substitution, thus leading to oligomers.

Because sterically unhindered azasilatranes⁴ and azastannatranes⁷ possess transannular bonds, it is expected that the same is true for **4** and **5.** In view of the quasi-azasilatrane structure of 11 established by X-ray means, however,^{4a} it is possible that

one or more of compounds 6-8 could exhibit an analogous structure. Unfortunately, a comparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data for these compounds with those of their acyclic precursors provides no meaningful trends that might suggest the presence or absence of a transannular bond in **4-8.** Until suitable crystals of **4** or **6** for X-ray analysis can be grown **(57,** and 8 are liquids), this question remains unanswered. 5-8 could exhibit an analogous
mparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR
those of their acyclic precursors
that might suggest the presence
nd in 4-8. Until suitable crystals
be grown (5, 7, and 8 are liquids),
red.
olons. Althou

Azagennatrane Interconversions. Although germanium compounds are well-known to undergo monodentate ligand exchange in thermodynamically controlled equilibrium reactions, 13 reactions 8 and 9 demonstrate that a tetradentate amino ligand can be quantitatively replaced by another. Interestingly this reaction is

Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 32, No. 1, 1993 81
\n5 +
$$
(H_2NCH_2CH_2)_3N
$$

\nthen (MchNCH₂CH₂)₃N (8)

 $7 + (H_2NCH_2CH_2)_3N$ **6** $+ (MeHNCH_2CH_2)_3N$ (9)

not reversible to any detectable extent, suggesting that steric factors probably dominate in the replacement of the more sterically hindered (MeNCH₂CH₂)₃N moiety by the (HNCH₂CH₂)₃N fragment. Such a replacement may also permit a stronger transannular interaction. It is worth noting that reaction 9 was carried out at room temperature in C_6D_6 and was \sim 50% complete in 0.5 h, whereas reaction *5* produced no detectable product at 120 \degree C for 2 h unless a catalyst was present. (That a solvent effect is not operative here was shown by the absence of a detectable amount of *6* when reaction *5* was carried out for 10 h in refluxing C_6D_6). This result is contrary to expectation, since reaction 5 is entropically and thermodynamically favored, and the reactant t -BuGe(NMe₂)₃ is less sterically encumbered than **7** in reaction 9. We are presently unable to explain this result satisfactorily. It is possible that if there is significant (albeit strained) transannular bonding in **7,** the hybridizational promotion energy from a tetrahedral to a trigonal bipyramidal Ge geometry required in reaction *5* interconversion in reaction 9. sible that if there is signion
onding in 7, the hybridization
al to a trigonal bipyramidal
interconversion in reaction
carried out as NMR tube
temperature. For 4, 5, and
 $\frac{C_6D_6}{ }$ 9 + tren or Me₃-tren

Reactions 10 and 11, carried out as NMR tube experiments, arequantitative at room temperature. For **4,5,** and **7** the reaction

4 *or* **5** + (HOCH2CH2hN **(10)** IEA **-6 6** or **7** + (HOCH2CH2)3N - **10** + **uen** or Mq-tren

$$
6 \text{ or } 7 + (\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2)_3\text{N} \longrightarrow 10 + \text{tren or Me}_3\text{-tren} \tag{11}
$$

was complete in minutes whereas for 6 it required ~14 h. The

reason for the slowness of **6** to react may be due to steric inhibition. Although this factor is expected to be larger in the case of **7,** it may be sufficiently large that the transannular bond is weakened or broken in this compound, thus rendering the Ge center more electrophilic. The replacement of three Ge-N linkages by three stronger Ge-0 bonds favors these reactions, as does the reduction in the steric requirements of the $(OCH₂CH₂)₃N$ group. The structures for **10,12,** and **13** determined by X-ray means reveal the presence of Ge+N transannular bonds (2.238 *(6),* 2.24, and 2.24 Å, respectively¹⁴). This distance appears to be slightly shorter in **14** (2.19 (3) **AI5)** and **IS** (2.150 **(7) AI6).** These data strongly suggest that **9** and **10** also contain transannular bonds, whose strengths are probably enhanced by the three electronegative alkoxy oxygens over the three less electronegative amido nitrogens present in azagermatranes. The reaction of 8 with TEA gave a complicated mixture, undoubtedly owing to competitive departure of the $Me₂N$ substituent and the MeN nitrogens in the (MeNCH₂- $CH₂$)₃N moiety during nucleophilic displacement by the triol.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the National Science Foundation for a grant in support of this research and to the W. R. Grace Co. for a research sample of tren.

- **(14)** Gurkova, S. N.; Gusev, A. I.; Alekseev, N. V.; Segel'man, R. I.; Gar, T. K.; Khromova, N. Yu. *J. Struct. Chem. (Engl. Trawl.)* **1981, 155** and references therein.
- (15) Gurkova, S. N.; Gusev, A. I.; Segel'man, I. R.; Alekseev, N. V.; Gar, T. K.; Khromova, N. V. J. Struct. Chem. (Engl. Transl.) 1981, 22, 461. (16) Zaitseva, G. S.; Mohammed, N.; Livantsova, L. I.; Tafeenko, V. A.;
- Aslanov, L. **A.;** Petrosyan, V. S. *Heteroatom Chem.* **1990,** *1,* **439.**

⁽¹²⁾ HereSi(NMe2)4,whetherheatedwithor withoutacatalystin thepresence of tren or Mejtren, does not give the corresponding (dimethylamino) azasilatrane,^{4a} although Me₂NSi(HNCH₂CH₂)₃N has been claimed to be formed in this manner: LeGrow, **G.** E. **US.** Patent **3,576,026, 1971.**

⁽¹³⁾ Burch, **G.** M.; Van Wazer, J. R. *J. Chem. Sot. A* **1966, 586.**